3.4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/747,029	UNION ET AL2
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DiBrino Marianne	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>DiBrino_Marianne</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Madsen, Mathew</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2004	Time: <u>1:45 PM ECT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 13		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Example is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writter And almost attached becomes of the substance of the substance of the income of th	n summary of the substance
		j
novime D		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Madsen gave permission for an examiner's amendment: To delete from claim 13 "according to claim 12" at line 1, and to add after "A kit" --for use in detecting autoantibodies present in the sera of patients with rheumatoid arthritis--. To delete the limitation "A" from the beginning of dependent claims 3, 4, 8, 9 and 14, and to replace the said limitation with --The--..